Defence Research and Development Canada
Recherche et développement pour la défense Canada

DEFENCE R&D DÉFENSE

# MarCoPola Polarimetric SAR Trial:

*Signatures of Multiple Vessels with Aligned Operating Conditions*

Chen Liu, Nicholas Sandirasegaram, Ryan A. English,
Lloyd Gallop and Dave Schlingmeier

**Defence R&D Canada – Ottawa**
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM
DRDC Ottawa TM 2005-134
September 2005

Canada

# MarCoPola Polarimetric SAR Trial:

*Signatures of Multiple Vessels with Aligned Operating Conditions*

Chen Liu
Nicholas Sandirasegaram
Ryan A. English
Lloyd Gallop
Dave Schlingmeier

**Defence R&D Canada – Ottawa**

Technical Memorandum

DRDC Ottawa TM 2005-134

September 2005

106-03822/

© Her Majesty the Queen as represented by the Minister of National Defence, 2005

© Sa majesté la reine, représentée par le ministre de la Défense nationale, 2005

## Abstract

This memorandum addresses design, experimentation, and data collection components of the MarCoPola Polarimetric Signature trial that was conducted off the coast of Halifax, Nova Scotia, March 22-26, 2004 in conjunction with the CFAV *Quest* trial Q-281. Four ships participated as maritime targets in the experiments: *Quest*, CCGS *Sir Wilfred Grenfell* and CCGC *Sambro*, and *Divecom III*. C-band Synthetic Aperture Radar imagery of these targets was collected using the sensor on Environment Canada's CV-580 platform, with a supporting ENVISAT acquisition.

A radar calibration site was established at CFB Shearwater, which offered an adequately high TCR environment. The calibration site was composed of four active radar calibrators (ARC), four corner reflectors (CR) and two GPS base stations. Additional ground truthing of targets of opportunity were acquired by a CP-140 through contact tracking and photographs.

Fourteen lines of airborne Polarimetric SAR images were successfully collected with the target vessels exhibiting various speeds, incidence angles, aspect angles, and environmental conditions. One line was collected coincident with the ENVISAT acquisition. Four PolSAR lines were collected containing the Shearwater calibration site, also containing urban environments of the greater Halifax area. Seven lines of MTI data were obtained containing the target vessels, and two lines in MTI mode were collected for calibration.

## Résumé

Le présent résumé traite des aspects de la conception, de l'expérimentation et de la collecte de données de l'essai de signature polarimétrique MarCoPola, qui a été mené au large d'Halifax (Nouvelle-Écosse) du 22 au 26 mars 2004, conjointement avec l'essai Q-281 du NAFC *Quest*. Quatre navires ont servi de cibles maritimes dans les expériences : *Quest*, NGCC *Sir Wilfred Grenfell* et CGCC *Sambro*, ainsi que *Divecom III*. On a recueilli des images de ces cibles à l'aide du capteur radar transporté à bord du CV-580 d'Environnement Canada, complétées par l'acquisition d'images satellites à l'aide d'ENVISAT.

Un site d'étalonnage radar a été établi à la BFC Shearwater, un milieu qui permettait d'obtenir un rapport signal de cible-clutter suffisamment élevé. Le site d'étalonnage était constitué de quatre étalonneurs radar actifs (ARC), quatre réflecteurs à coin (CR) et deux stations de base GPS (système de positionnement mondial). Des données additionnelles de vérification de terrain relatives à des cibles inopinées dans les zones d'imagerie ont été recueillies par le CP-140 par poursuite de contact et prise de photographies.

On a recueilli avec succès des images sur 14 lignes pour différentes vitesses, différents angles d'incidence, différents angles d'aspect et diverses conditions environnementales des navires cibles. L'acquisition des images sur une des lignes coïncidait avec l'acquisition par ENVISAT. Quatre lignes du PolSAR, utilisées pour la collecte d'images, contenaient le site d'étalonnage Shearwater, ainsi que des environnements urbains de la région du Grand Halifax. On a obtenu sept lignes de collecte de données MTI comprenant les navires cibles, et des données ont été recueillies en mode MTI sur deux lignes pour fins d'étalonnage.

## Executive summary

Capabilities to exploit data from the upcoming RADARSAT-2 synthetic aperture radar (SAR) sensor are being developed by DRDC Ottawa in support of the Canadian Forces, including D Space D's Polar Epsilon project. Algorithms to automate the characterization of ships at sea using RADARSAT-2's Polarimetric and moving target indicator (MTI) modes can be largely validated prior to the launch of the satellite by acquiring data using the C-band SAR flown on Environment Canada's CV-580.

Polarimetric signature studies on a single vessel, DRDC's CFAV *Quest,* have been initiated on previous data. To validate that the resulting signatures are characteristic of differences among vessels, rather than imaging geometry or environmental conditions, a new collection of data focussing on multiple vessels operating in tandem is required. To implement this, the *Quest* has been employed again, with the assistance of Canadian Coast Guard ships and rented vessels that can be directed to manoeuvre in formation. By focussing data acquisition on these well-known and instrumented vessels, high-quality ground-truth can be collected to augment the radar data.

During the March 22-26, 2004 MarCoPola trial, held off the coast of Halifax, Nova Scotia, fourteen lines of PolSAR imagery were collected of the *Quest,* CCG and rental vessels, including one that was synchronized with an ENVISAT pass. In addition, 7 lines of MMTI data were collected of the CCG vessels. CP-140 MPA supporting flights provided tracking and photographs as ground-truth for non-cooperating targets in the experimentation region.

A calibration site located at CFB Shearwater provided active radar calibrator (ARC) and corner reflector (CR) instrumentation necessary to calibrate the Polarimetric data. This site was imaged by four PolSAR passes and 2 MMTI passes, with the surrounding urban and harbour regions included in these acquisitions.

The resulting data set provides a unique, valuable asset toward enabling new maritime intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) capabilities. In particular, the collection of SAR imagery and MTI data containing vessels operating together, exhibiting the same activity and near-identical geometry, will provide a test bed for identifying and eliminating algorithms that discriminate targets in a data-set based on characteristics inherent to operating conditions rather than the target.

The collection of coincident SAR imagery of the vessels from both the CV-580 and ENVISAT sensors will allow for further validation of the CV-580 SAR in its use as a surrogate for a space-based sensor. Ancillary data from the ship-board instrumentation and the calibration site extends the understanding of the extent of parameter variation between images, while the CP-140 data offers potential identification of targets of opportunity and sources of false alarms.

Liu, C., N. Sandirasegaram, R.A. English, L. Gallop, D. Schlingmeier, 2005. MarCoPola Polarimetric Signature Trial. DRDC Ottawa TM 2005-134. Defence R&D Canada - Ottawa.

## Sommaire

RDDC Ottawa développe actuellement des capacités d'exploitation des données du futur capteur du radar à synthèse d'ouverture (SAR) RADARSAT-2 à l'appui des Forces canadiennes, notamment dans le cadre du projet Polar Epsilon de la DD Espace. Des algorithmes servant à automatiser la caractérisation de navires en mer à l'aide du mode polarimétrique et du mode MTI (visualisation des cibles mobiles) de RADARSAT-2 peuvent être validés en grande partie avant le lancement du satellite par l'acquisition de données à l'aide du SAR en bande C transporté à bord du CV-580 d'Environnement Canada.

Des études de la signature polarimétrique d'un seul navire, le NAFC *Quest* de RDDC, ont été réalisées d'après des données antérieures. Afin de confirmer que les signatures obtenues sont caractéristiques des différences entre les navires, et non de la géométrie de visée ou des conditions ambiantes, il fallait effectuer une nouvelle collecte de données axée sur plusieurs bâtiments naviguant de conserve. Pour ce faire, on a de nouveau utilisé le *Quest*, avec l'aide de navires de la Garde côtière canadienne et de navires loués que l'on pouvait faire naviguer en formation. En axant l'acquisition de données sur ces navires bien connus et munis d'instruments, on peut recueillir des données de vérification de terrain de haute qualité en complément aux données radar.

Durant l'essai MarCoPola, mené au large d'Halifax (Nouvelle-Écosse) du 22 au 26 mars 2004, des données relatives au NAFC *Quest* et aux navires de la GCC ainsi qu'aux navires loués ont été recueillies sur quatorze lignes d'imagerie PolSAR, une de ces lignes étant synchronisée avec un passage d'ENVISAT. De plus, des données MMTI relatives aux navires de la GCC ont été recueillies sur sept lignes. Les vols de soutien de l'APATMAR CP-140 ont permis la poursuite et la prise de photographies pour l'acquisition de données de vérification de terrain relatives à des cibles non coopérantes dans la région d'expérimentation.

Un site d'étalonnage établi à la BFC Shearwater a fourni les instruments des étalonneurs radar actifs (ARC) et des réflecteurs à coin (CR) nécessaires pour étalonner les données polarimétriques. On a recueilli des images de ce site sur quatre passages PolSAR et deux passages MMTI, l'acquisition de données portant aussi sur les zones urbaines et portuaires environnantes.

Le jeu de données obtenu constitue une ressource unique et précieuse pour offrir de nouvelles capacités de renseignement, surveillance et reconnaissance (RSR) maritimes. Particulièrement, la collecte d'images SAR et de données MTI relatives à des navires manœuvrant ensemble, exécutant la même activité et caractérisés par un gabarit presque identique, fournira un banc d'essai pour identifier et éliminer les algorithmes qui, dans un jeu de données, discriminent les cibles à partir des caractéristiques propres aux conditions ambiantes plutôt que propres aux cibles.

La collecte simultanée d'images SAR des navires, à l'aide des capteurs radar à bord du CV-580 et d'ENVISAT, permettra une meilleure validation du SAR à bord du CV-580 utilisé comme substitut de capteur spatial. Les données auxiliaires provenant des instruments à bord des navires et du site d'étalonnage permettent de mieux comprendre l'ampleur des variations

des paramètres entre les images, alors que les données du CP-140 offrent la possibilité d'identifier les cibles inopinées et les sources de fausses alarmes.

Liu, C., N. Sandirasegaram, R.A. English, L. Gallop, D. Schlingmeier, 2005. MarcoPola-2004 Polarimetric Signature Trial. DRDC Ottawa TM 2005-134. R & D pour la défense Canada – Ottawa.

This page is intentionally left blank

# Table of contents


Abstract ..... i

Executive summary ..... iii

Sommaire ..... iv

Table of contents ..... vii

List of figures ..... ix

1. Introduction ..... 1

2. Experiment Design ..... 3

3. Calibration Site and Calibrators ..... 10
   - 3.1 Establishment of calibration site ..... 10
   - 3.2 Corner reflectors ..... 12
   - 3.3 Active radar calibrators ..... 14
   - 3.4 GPS base station ..... 16

4. Polarimetric SAR Image Acquisition ..... 18

5. Initial Polarimetric SAR Results ..... 20
   - 5.1 General ..... 20
   - 5.2 Calibration Site ..... 25
   - 5.3 Vessels Imaged ..... 26

6. Summary and Conclusions ..... 29

7. References ..... 30

Annex A. Calculation of Corner Reflector Bore-Sight Angle ..... 31

Annex B. Deployment of Corner Reflectors and ARCs ..... 32

Annex C. Flight Operations and Communication Plans ..... 34

Annex D. Crucial Covair Radio Calls to Ships ..... 36

Annex E. Ships Operation during the experiment ..... 38

Annex F. Initial Image Results and Target Location Map ..... 47

List of symbols/abbreviations/acronyms/initialisms ..... 52

## List of figures

Figure 1: Trial schedule including approximate satellite overpass times (local time: EST) for March 15-26, 2004. The red X indicates that the MMTI Primary flight was cancelled. The green circles indicate successful airborne imagery acquisitions. Blue slashes indicate contingency slots not used. The green bars indicate times at which CP-140 support was provided. 4

Figure 2: Example of March 23 vessels track. 5

Figure 3: Example of March 24 vessels track. 5

Figure 4: Expected footprints for CSI collections relevant to MarCoPola trial dates and locations. 6

Figure 5: Sketch of CFB Shearwater Runways and Taxiways. 11

Figure 6: CFB Shearwater West Delta Calibration Site March 2004. 11

Figure 7: Relationship between elevation, bore-sight and incident angles. 13

Figure 8: Corner Reflectors Setup. a) Front view and b) back view. 13

Figure 9: Active Radar Calibrators Setup. 15

Figure 10: The received signal in real time. 16

Figure 11: Received and transmitted Radar signals by Noah on March 24 (21:26:43 UTC). 16

Figure 12: GPS base station setup. 16

Figure 13: Survey BM 66D31. 17

Figure 14: Geometry for the EC CV-580 PolSAR acquisition. 18

Figure 15: Polarimetric SAR image of the calibration site – Left looking mode (45° inc). 25

Figure 16: Polarimetric SAR image of the calibration site – Right looking mode (35° inc). 25

Figure 17: Calibration devices (left looking mode) in the azimuth direction (1 range sample) using HH channel. 26

Figure 18: A CR (left looking mode) in the range direction (1 azimuth sample) using HH channel. 26

Figure 19: Calibration devices (Right looking mode) in the azimuth direction (1 range sample) using HH channel. 26

Figure 20: A CR (right looking mode) in the range direction (1 azimuth sample) using HH channel. ..... 26

Figure 21: Example of coincident data acquisition of SAR images from both airborne and spaceborne sensors. ..... 28

Figure 22: Sketch of a Corner Reflector. ..... 31

Figure 23: Polarimetric images of vessels, aircraft flight track and vessel locations on 23 March 2004. Near range (green) and first range line (red) of images indicate collection orientation. ..... 49

Figure 24: Polarimetric images of vessels, aircraft flight track and vessel locations on 24 March 2004. Near range (green) and first range line (red) of images indicate collection orientation. ..... 51

# List of tables

Table 1: Vessel dimensions ..... 5

Table 2: March 23 flight ..... 8

Table 3: March 24 flight ..... 9

Table 4: Geo-locations of calibrators ..... 12

Table 5: Data acquisition and vessel velocity parameters obtained from the NADAS and dGPS ..... 21

Table 6: CFAV *Quest* motion and wave information ..... 22

Table 7: Vessels locations from dGPS data ..... 23

Table 8: Collected information during data processing ..... 24

Table 9: Corner reflector deployment ..... 32

Table 10: Active radar calibrator deployment ..... 33

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of CFB Shearwater staff, especially, Capt Gerry MacLellan, Sgt Al Spratt and Mr. John Harvey; the chief scientist Gary Cook, Capt Alec Grant of the CFAV *Quest* Trial Q-281, and the rest of the *Quest* crew. The authors would like to acknowledge the support of CCG, especially Mr. Dave Smith, Captain Saunders and crew of the CCGS *Sir Wilfred Grenfell* and CCGC *Sambro* crew.

We would like to extend our thanks to the sensor and support teams, 1 CAD MAC(A) Capt Chris Muise, and from 14 Wing Greenwood:
23 March - 415 Sqn. Crew Commander Maj Cecic; TACNAV Capt Hone;
24 March - 405 Sqn. Crew Commander Capt Hale; TACNAV Capt Ouellet;
Wing Operations scheduling personnel (Captains Stuart and McIsaac);
DIAC personnel for careful attention to post-flight mission data retrieval, especially to Capt Sguigna and PO1 Daigle and his staff as well as Wing Int and Wing Imaging.

We are very grateful for the assistance received from DRDC Atlantic, especially, Dr. Dan Hutt, Capt Mike Wittich, Mr. Courtney Greene, Mr. Dave Wright and Mr. Matt Coffin.

We would like to extend our thanks to Bill Bayer for the mission planning, the crew of the EC CV-580, Bryan Healey, Bill Chevrier, Reid Whetter, Doug Percy, and Dr. Robert Hawkins of CCRS for the successful SAR data acquisitions. We would also like to thank Dr. Chuck Livingstone for his technical advice during the trial planning and execution; to Dr. Pete Beaulne, Shawn Gong and Marielle Quinton for the great support during the trial; to Mr. Terry Potter and Mr. Allan Meek for support with data processing, and image extraction and image analysis.

We greatly appreciate the financial support of D Space D for this trial and the collaboration of CSA. We also appreciate very much the ENVISAT data provided through the European Space Agency's Envisat Mission Announcement of Opportunity, Project 255.

# 1. Introduction

The MarCoPola Polarimetric Signature trial was conducted off the coast of Halifax, Nova Scotia in conjunction with CFAV *Quest* trial Q-281.

The trial consisted of five experiments: Polarimetric Signature Collection of Vessels at Sea, Wake and Ocean Observation, Maritime Moving Target Indicator (MMTI), Sea-Truthed False Alarm Collections and Halifax Urban/Harbour collection. These experiments were performed from March 22 to March 26, 2004.

As the expected launch date of RADARSAT-2 approaches, capabilities to exploit polarimetric synthetic aperture radar (PolSAR) imagery have become increasingly important to potential end-users of this data. In particular, the Canadian Forces, led by D Space D, will use RADARSAT-2 imagery for monitoring Canada's vast expanses of territorial waters, as envisioned by Project Polar Epsilon. Ideally, these capabilities should be available as soon as RADARSAT-2 becomes operational. So, it is desirable for new algorithms to be developed and validated prior to the launch of RADARSAT-2, particularly for the characterization of ships at sea based upon their polarimetric signatures.

Using the C-band Polarimetric SAR test bed sensor flown on the Environment Canada (EC) Convair 580 (CV-580) aircraft, many aspects of the expected radar performance of RADARSAT-2 may be investigated. The PolSAR imagery of known, instrumented vessels serves as a valued data set in the development of algorithms for RADARSAT-2 data. Since ongoing efforts exist to generate accurate signature models of the *Quest* for a variety of sensors, use of this vessel as a target will add helpful ancillary data to such a data set.

For an initial investigation, the Quest-2003 trial [1] was held October 1 - 9, 2003 located 240 nm south of Halifax, Nova Scotia, and focused on collecting polarimetric SAR imagery of a single well-know target vessel, the *Quest*, for which extensive RF modeling has been performed [2]. This data has formed the basis for analysis and algorithm development for characterizing the polarimetric signature. The next step is to validate that algorithms differentiating between vessels are able to do so on the basis of features characteristic to the vessels, and not something inherent to the collection, such as imaging geometry, sea state, or vessel activity. The MarCoPola trial aims to achieve this by having multiple vessels operate in tandem, thereby exhibiting nearly identical parameters inherent to the collection.

All aspects of satellite imagery cannot be simulated through the use of an airborne sensor. Nor is there existing commercial satellite imagery (CSI) that can provide the complete spectrum of data that RADARSAT-2 will generate. However, certain aspects can be exercised from current spaceborne sensors. By combining such CSI with the airborne PolSAR, a more thorough analysis of characteristics relevant to RADARSAT-2 may be performed.

RADARSAT-2 will operate primarily in imaging modes, but will also have experimental moving target indicator (MTI) modes. Like the PolSAR, these modes are implemented in the EC CV-580 test-bed for experimental purposes. Several experiments for ground MTI (GMTI) have proven successful in providing developmental and validation data for algorithms dealing

with vehicles moving over land. Investigations into applications for MMTI have followed, but significantly more data is required to draw meaningful conclusions. The environment and vessel instrumentation requirements for PolSAR trials also provides an ideal opportunity to acquire such MMTI data, so these data collects are planned together whenever possible.

By collecting calibration imagery, i.e., imaging a land-based calibration site that consists of active and passive instruments, post-processing can derive parameters to enhance the analysis of the maritime target data. Positioning the calibration site in or near an urban area allows the simultaneous collection of urban-based data without expending any additional resources.

The data acquired of cooperating maritime targets typically covers an extensive area of ocean that may include significant targets of opportunity, such as non-cooperating vessels or even non-vessels, either natural or man-made. When automated detection algorithms are applied, both cooperating targets and targets of opportunity may be selected. Since higher-level algorithms, such as those for polarimetric signature analysis, require significantly more computing resources than detection algorithms, it is desirable to eliminate false-alarms from the detection list wherever possible. In order to validate false-alarm reduction algorithms, ground-truth about all targets in the detection list is a requirement. Thus, the collection of sea-truthing, identifying information about all targets that might appear in the maritime data collection, will be employed whenever its acquisition can be obtained without interfering with the PolSAR imagery or the experiment itself.

## 2. Experiment Design

The objectives of the MarCoPola Polarimetric Signature trial were set out as:

- To acquire maritime data, with various types of vessels (small to large) under a variety of conditions (including non-maneuvering and moving vessels);
- To collect sea-truthing within the imagery footprint, including both targets and false alarms;
- To acquire airborne SAR data coincident with RADARSAR-1 and/or ENVISAT passes; and
- To collect data of Halifax Urban/Harbor area to support the Earth Observation Application Development Program (EOADP).

The data sets to be acquired from the trial are important for determining the effect of ship velocity and motion on the ship polarimetric signature; for developing ship selection, ship wake detection, and ship classification algorithms, and for ship velocity estimation. These data are important to investigate the lower limits of vessel size that the PolSAR detection capability can support. The synchronization of data acquisitions for airborne and spaceborne SAR systems is important for evaluating the performance of ship detection algorithms developed using airborne SAR data for RADARSAR-2 applications. RADARSAT-2 will offer several modes, including polarimetric (i.e. HH, HV, VH, and VV).

A number of parameters need to be considered when designing a trial in which the number of SAR scenes that can be collected is limited. For example, the flight lines were selected to collect imagery of the vessels at various *incidence angles* and *aspect angles* for a range of *vessel velocities* and *environmental conditions* that complement previous PolSAR data collections. The CV-580 SAR sensor, originally developed by Canada Centre for Remote Sensing (CCRS), can be operated either in right or left looking mode [3,4]. By accepting imagery from either side, more flexibility is available in the flight plan, usually allowing for more lines per flight.

Participation of DRDC's research vessel, *Quest,* was a high priority for proceeding with the trial. *Quest* was already to be operating between St. Margaret's Bay and LeHavre Basin in accordance with Cruise Q-281. Availability of the *Quest* for MarCoPola purposes was limited to March 24, 2004 and during transits on the evenings of March 23 and 25, as indicated with shaded red in the trial schedule shown in Figure 1. During these periods, only two tie-in satellite passes were available, the 22:01 ENVISAT pass on March 23, and the 18:00 RADARSAT pass on March 25. Therefore, these became the primary and contingency schedules, respectively, for the airborne/spaceborne tie-in component of the trial. A further requirement to provide the CV-580 pilots a 12-hour recovery period between flights limited the scheduling of the primary PolSAR flight to the afternoon of March 24. Since the *Quest* was not required for the MMTI component of the trial, this flight and contingencies were scheduled around the others, maintaining the 12-hour recovery period requirement.




Figure 1: Trial schedule including approximate satellite overpass times (local time: EST) for March 15-26, 2004. The red X indicates that the MMTI Primary flight was cancelled. The green circles indicate successful airborne imagery acquisitions. Blue slashes indicate contingency slots not used. The green bars indicate times at which CP-140 support was provided.

Participating in the trial were *Quest*, CCGS *Sir Wilfred Grenfell*, CCGC *Sambro*, and the small fishing boat *Divecom III*. On March 23, the *Quest*, *Grenfell* and *Sambro* participated in the experiment. On March 24, the *Quest* was operating in a different location from CCG vessels, which forced the experiment to be divided into two parts. The *Grenfell*, *Sambro* and *Divecom III* were imaged in the 1st part and *Quest* was imaged in the 2nd part of the experiment. The dimensions of each vessel are indicated in Table 1.

To handle targets other than the cooperating vessels, CP-140 flights for sea-truthing were coordinated with the primary acquisition schedules, as shown by the green bars in Figure 1. Contact logs and photographic snapshots of all significant targets in the experimental region would be obtained. This degree of sea-truthing was expected to provide sufficient knowledge to validate false-alarm identification algorithms when applied to the SAR imagery.

The key parameters for the vessels and the aircraft participating in the trial are summarized in Table 2 for March 23 and Table 3 for March 24. In order to capture all participating vessels within the scene, the vessels' velocity and course relative to the aircraft heading, the




Figure 1: Trial schedule including approximate satellite overpass times (local time: EST) for March 15-26, 2004. The red X indicates that the MMTI Primary flight was cancelled. The green circles indicate successful airborne imagery acquisitions. Blue slashes indicate contingency slots not used. The green bars indicate times at which CP-140 support was provided.

Participating in the trial were *Quest*, CCGS *Sir Wilfred Grenfell*, CCGC *Sambro*, and the small fishing boat *Divecom III*. On March 23, the *Quest*, *Grenfell* and *Sambro* participated in the experiment. On March 24, the *Quest* was operating in a different location from CCG vessels, which forced the experiment to be divided into two parts. The *Grenfell*, *Sambro* and *Divecom III* were imaged in the 1st part and *Quest* was imaged in the 2nd part of the experiment. The dimensions of each vessel are indicated in Table 1.

To handle targets other than the cooperating vessels, CP-140 flights for sea-truthing were coordinated with the primary acquisition schedules, as shown by the green bars in Figure 1. Contact logs and photographic snapshots of all significant targets in the experimental region would be obtained. This degree of sea-truthing was expected to provide sufficient knowledge to validate false-alarm identification algorithms when applied to the SAR imagery.

The key parameters for the vessels and the aircraft participating in the trial are summarized in Table 2 for March 23 and Table 3 for March 24. In order to capture all participating vessels within the scene, the vessels' velocity and course relative to the aircraft heading, the

geometric imaging aspect angle, must be considered when planning each acquisition, especially for small incidence angles with high target velocity passes. Here, the geometric imaging aspect angle, abbreviated herein as the aspect angle, is defined as the aircraft/sensor heading relative to the target heading. When imagery collected using a right-looking configuration is represented as a matrix of pixels, the geometric imaging aspect angle then corresponds to the usual mathematical rotation angle for 2-dimensional Euclidean space.

Since the participating vessels will be separated in distance from each other, the incidence angle and aspect angle for each vessel location will often be different. To meet the requirement that the new data is complementary to existing data, maintaining consistency of the incidence angles and aspect angles for all vessels in each scene needs to be carefully considered. This could be accomplished by minimizing the distance between vessels, however, wake effects due to adjacent vessels must also be considered, which are undesirable. Balancing these planning constraints, the vessel tracks were arranged in a right-triangle configuration. Each day, the two larger vessels were offset by 1 nautical mile (nm) abreast, and the smaller vessel was offset from the lead large vessel by 2 nm astern, as illustrated in Figure 2 and Figure 3. The decision to place the smaller vessel astern of the larger one was taken since the smaller vessel had the speed and manoeuvrability to place itself back in appropriate position when the formation turned around (180°) for the next pass, in the opposite direction. A detailed calculation was performed for each ship to ensure that the designed parameters could approximately be achieved for each scene. For example, there was a concern for the 15 knots (kt) case that the larger vessels may not be able to keep up; detailed information is given in Annex E

Figure 2: Example of March 23 vessels track.




Figure 3: Example of March 24 vessels track.

Table 1: Vessel dimensions.

| Name | Dimension (m) |
|---|---|
| CFAV *Quest* | 76 x 12.6 |
| CCGS *Sir Wilfred Grenfell* | 68.48 x 15 |
| CCGC *Sambro* | 16.25 x 5.18 |
| Divecom III | 13.3 x 4.45 |

Based on the ENVISAT swath-planning information, there would be only one pass covering the experimental region during the trial, and so a simultaneous CV-580 SAR image acquisition was planned. To achieve a synchronized image acquisition between the CV-580 SAR and CSI, the target vessels needed to be located within the swaths of both sensors. For maximum flexibility, the experimental region was determined by intersecting the expected footprints of the most relevant CSI, as shown in Figure 4. The primary airborne/spaceborne tie-in collection was obtained in conjunction with the March 23 ENVISAT overpass. For this acquisition, the proposed parameters were to have the incidence angle at 45°, the vessels' speeds at 10 kt, and the aspect angle of 45° (i.e. imaging from port bow). When a target moves with an angle towards the sensor, it has motion in the along-track and cross-track directions. The target motion causes the image smearing in both range and azimuth directions, where the cross-track motion introduces the image shift in azimuth direction.



Figure 4: Expected footprints for CSI collections relevant to MarCoPola trial dates and locations. Yellow shaded area shows region of best overlap for image used to optimize target position planning.

For the PolSAR experiments, two flights were planned. Each flight was estimated to be four hours long based on the flight mission requirements. A detailed mission plan can be found in [5]. Each mission consisted of 8 flight lines over the vessels and 2 flight lines over the

calibration site, Halifax harbour and urban areas. No contingency flight lines were planned, as the full CV-580 dwell time was accounted for.

A good communication plan is critical for a successful trial, especially for a trial involving multiple moving targets. During the experiments, the aircraft needs to contact to vessels to give commands to have the vessels move according the plan and synchronizing with the SAR sensor operation during each image acquisition. The vessels also need to communicate to coordinate during the image acquisition. To achieve this, a leading vessel was appointed with the responsibility for communication with both aircraft and other vessels. The aircraft, as the mission lead, communicates instructions to the lead vessel, with all other vessels monitoring the communications during each image acquisition. The lead vessel also serves as the center target for the SAR mission plan. On March 23, the lead vessel was the *Quest*, while in the first part of the experiments on March 24, the lead vessel was the *Grenfell*. The aircraft pilot provided the communication plan as detailed in Annex C.

In order for other participating organizations to understand the trial requirements, a trial briefing was given at DRDC Atlantic by a member of the planning team. A concurrent video teleconference was held between DRDC Ottawa and DRDC Atlantic. The experiment plan and all requirements for each participant were presented during the briefing. For the trial, selected DRDC Ottawa trial team members would be assigned to each participating vessel, to brief the crew prior to the event and to be onboard during the trial. Since sea-truthing, as described above, was to be collected by CP-140, the trial requirements would also have to be relayed to 14 Wing Greenwood staff. The military liaison officer from DRDC Atlantic attending the briefing was available for this task; otherwise alternate means would have had to be arranged. 14 Wing Greenwood staff played an important role by supporting us with CP-140 Aurora and providing post-flight mission data retrieval.

During the radar passes, position, velocity and motion of each vessel should be recorded. *Quest* has 3-axis accelerometer on board to record such information. Other vessels did not have the same capacity. Therefore, a Trimble Global Positioning System (GPS) unit was operated by DRDC Ottawa staff on each vessel. It provided the vessel location information during imagery acquisition, enabling the corresponding vessel velocity to be estimated from the GPS data. Additional information related to weather, sea state and other events, was recorded to provide an understanding of phenomenology observed in the resulting imagery and its analysis.

Table 2: March 23 flight

| | VESSEL INFORMATION | | | | AIRCRAFT INFORMATION | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Line | Vessel Location | Vessel bearing | Vessel speed (kt) | Centre Target | Incident angle | Aircraft heading | SAW[-1] | Flight pass time (min) | Flight positioning time (min) | Targets |
| Cal 1 | | | | Shearwater | 45° | 138°/Right | Off | | | Halifax harbor, Urban, Cal site |
| Cal 2 | | | | Shearwater | 35° | 318°/Left | Off | | | |
| L1 | $44^0$ 17′ N $63^0$ 45′ W | Stationary 270° | 0 | *Quest* | 45° | 45° to Quest | Off | 5 | 20 | *Quest, Sambro, Grenfell* |
| L2 | | 270° | 5 | *Quest* | 45° | 45° to Quest | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| L3 | | 90° | 5 | *Quest* | 35° | 45° to Quest | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| L4 | | 270° | 10 | *Quest* | 45° | 45° to Quest | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| L5 | | $90^0$ | 10 | *Quest* | 35° | 45° to Quest | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| L6 | | 270° | 5 | *Quest* | 45° | ⊥ to Quest | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| L7 | | 90° | 5 | *Quest* | 35° | ⊥ to Quest | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| L8 | | 270° | 15 | *Quest* | 45° | // to Quest | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3: March 24 flight

| | VESSEL INFORMATION | | | | AIRCRAFT INFORMATION | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Line | Vessel Location | Vessel bearing | Vessel speed (kt) | Centre Target | Incident angle | A/C heading | SAW$^{-1}$ | Flight pass time (min) | Flight positioning time (min) | Targets |
| 1 | 44$^0$ 18′ N 63$^0$ 45′ W | Stationary 270° | 0 | CCG large vessel | 45° | // to CCG large vessel | Off | 5 | 20 | *Grenfell, Sambro, Divecom III* |
| 2 | | 270° | 5 | CCG | 45° | 45° to vessel | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | | 90° | 5 | CCG | 35° | 45° to vessel | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| 4 | | 270° | 10 | CCG | 45° | 45° to vessel | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| 5 | | 90° | 10 | CCG | 35° | 45° to vessel | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| CV580 Moves South | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 43$^0$ 54.0′ N, 63$^0$ 39.0′ W | 270° | 5 | *Quest* | 45° | 45° to Quest | Off | 5 | 20 | *Quest* |
| 7 | | 90° | 5 | *Quest* | 35° | 45° to Quest | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| 8 | | 270° | 10 | *Quest* | 45° | 135° to Quest | Off | 5 | 20 | |
| Cal 1 | | | | Shearwater | 45° | 138°/Right | Off | | | Halifax harbor, Urban, Cal site |
| Cal 2 | | | | Shearwater | 35° | 318°/Left | Off | | | |

# 3. Calibration Site and Calibrators

The radar sensor employed during this trial, an airborne C-band SAR, was used to collect strip-map polarimetric SAR data. This radar sensor was originally developed by CCRS and is now operated by EC onboard the CV-580 aircraft [4]. The slant range resolution is 5.7 m and in the azimuth resolution is 0.85 m [4]. Polarimetric calibration is an essential requirement for the CV-580 radar system. The calibration is accomplished on the basis of the backscattered magnitude and phase information of the four channels. Therefore, it is necessary to measure the phase relationship and absolute values of the amplitude from each channel in order to achieve calibration of the system. This can be done with the help of external calibration using known calibrators, such as Active Radar Calibrators (ARCs) and Corner Reflectors (CRs). The ARCs are used for calibrating phase response between each channel, while the CRs are used for absolute calibration for this radar. In addition to the ARCs and CRs, two GPS base stations (Ashtech Z12) were deployed at the calibration site to provide data for performing positional error correction for the CV-580. This position calibration using data obtained from two GPS station are referred as differential GPS (dGPS). The dGPS data improves the accuracy of the aircraft position.

## 3.1 Establishment of calibration site

There are several considerations in selecting the location for the calibration site. Notable concerns include:

- Unobstructed line of sight along signal path;
- Low, uniformly reflective surface and relatively "flat" to provide high target-to-clutter ratio (TCR);
- Sufficient separation distance between each calibrator to avoid the radar antenna side lobe effects. In general, the separation distance should be at least 10 times the resolution.

The selected location for the calibration site was "Taxiway West Delta" at 12 Wing/Canadian Forces Base (CFB) Shearwater, Halifax, Nova Scotia. Figure 5 shows the Runways and Taxiways, with West Delta highlighted in green. Figure 6 was obtained from GPS field survey data and indicates the location of the calibration devices. Four trihedral CRs and four ARCs were alternately deployed in a co-linear manner, about 60 m apart from each other, and facing NE for this trial. The CRs and ARCs were deployed onto the centre line of the West Delta taxiway to obtain the low and uniform clutter distribution surrounding the target. This will provide sufficient TCR. The overall deployment line was along a bearing of 318° T. Also, CRs were anchored using "dead men" to prevent the wind from blowing the units over.

Two GPS base station were also deployed. One was deployed over a known survey monument to perform positional error correction for the CV-580, and the second was deployed to provide redundancy for the trial's critical data sets.



Figure 5: Sketch of CFB Shearwater Runways and Taxiways.




Figure 6: CFB Shearwater West Delta Calibration Site March 2004.

The actual geo-location of all ARCs, CRs and GPS stations are listed in Table 4. The GPS measurements were performed with a Trimble Pro XR DGPS beacon receiver. A Silva Model 530 Ranger Ultra magnetic compass was used to set the azimuth angle and a SMART Tool ½ foot electronic level was used to setup the elevation angle for the ARCs and CRs. The local magnetic declination applied for the Shearwater Base was 19.4° west.

Notably, there were two vehicles were parked on "Taxiway West Delta", near the calibration devices. The truck (manufactured by International) was located at N 44 38 26.1, W 63 30 21.6, and the car (PT Cruiser) was located at N 44 38 24.7, W 63 30 23.6.

Table 4: Geo-locations of calibrators

| Waypoint # | Position | Latitude | Longitude | Altitude (m) | EHE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| North Delta | GPS Antenna (BM 66D31) | N 44 38 51.06920 | W 063 30 09.23370 | 42.344 | 0.8 |
| West Delta | GPS Antenna | N 44 38 39.69599 | W 063 30 38.10063 | 44.442 | 0.7 |
| West Delta | CRN-DREA | N 44 38 38.54280 | W 063 30 39.17557 | 44.875 | 0.6 |
| West Delta | ARC 1-2542 | N 44 38 37.07876 | W 063 30 37.24303 | 44.062 | 0.7 |
| West Delta | CRN-DREV | N 44 38 35.70067 | W 063 30 35.62361 | 43.709 | 0.7 |
| West Delta | ARC 2-2811 | N 44 38 34.27651 | W 063 30 33.67799 | 44.069 | 0.7 |
| West Delta | CRN-DREP | N 44 38 32.75059 | W 063 30 31.90426 | 43.090 | 0.8 |
| West Delta | ARC 1-2756 | N 44 38 31.38169 | W 063 30 30.08363 | 43.317 | 0.8 |
| West Delta | DREO | N 44 38 29.91548 | W 063 30 28.33777 | 43.058 | 0.7 |
| West Delta | ARC Noah | N 44 38 28.43342 | W 063 30 26.43004 | 42.589 | 0.8 |

## 3.2 Corner reflectors

All trihedral CRs were setup to align the front horizontal edge of the CR with the flight line and the boresight of the CR aligned with the radar sensor to maximize the signal return. The boresight angle calculation is given in Annex A.

Usually elevation angle is considered in setting the CRs. The elevation angle ($\theta_{elv}$) is measured from the horizontal plane (parallel to the ground) and the base surface of the CR as shown in Figure 7. Therefore, the elevation angle is measured as follows

$$\theta_{elv} = 90° - (\theta_{inc} + \theta_{bore}) \qquad (1)$$

where $\theta_{bore}$ is the inherent bore elevation of a CR from horizontal and $\theta_{inc}$ is the desired incidence angle.




Figure 7: Relationship between elevation, bore-sight and incident angles.

The Radar Cross Section (RCS) of a trihedral CR is calculated using

$$\sigma = \frac{\pi \cdot h^4}{3 \cdot \lambda^2}, \qquad (2)$$

as per the Quest trial technical memorandum [1] and reference [6]. Here, σ is RCS in $m^2$, $h$ is the hypotenuse of the CR in meters and λ is wavelength of the radar signal in meters.

In this trial, corner reflectors referenced it as DREA, DREV, DREP and DREO were deployed. The length of the edge (or box) for each of these is 0.75 m. These CRs were individually mounted on Dutch Hill 1200, survey quality, electrically non-conducting tripods via custom made tripod heads. The tripod head, constructed of a non-ferrous aluminum alloy, allows the CR to be moved in both vertical and horizontal directions. Therefore, the CRs can be adjusted to the boresight for each flight line. An example of a CR setup is shown in Figure 8. The wooden "deadman" and sandbags, used to secure the CR against movement due to strong wind, can also be seen. Note that some of calibration devices were set on snow-covered ground.



Figure 8: Corner Reflectors Setup. a) Front view and b) back view.

## 3.3 Active radar calibrators

The ARC is an electronic apparatus. In the ARC circuit design, a receiver, amplifier and transmitter are included. The ARC receiver obtains the radar signal, the amplifier amplifies the signal then the transmitter sends the signal back to the radar sensor. The peak Radar Cross Section of ARC is given by [7,8]:

$$RCS = \frac{G_e G_R G_T \lambda^2}{4\pi}, \tag{3}$$

where $G_R$ and $G_T$ are the horn receiving and transmitting antenna gains, respectively, $G_e$ is the electronic gain, and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the radar signal.

In this trial, Active Radar Calibrators Power Hog (CCRS 1-2542), Serafina (CCRS 1-2756), Gemini (CCRS 2-2811) and Noah (DRDC Ottawa) were deployed on West Delta. Setup for all the ARCs are shown in Figure 9.

The signal from Gemini (CCRS 2-2811) was weak on 22$^{nd}$ of March 04 and was improved by replacing the faulty N-cable between the two boxes which forms the transmit and receive portions of the device.

For the Noah, the received signal and transmitted signal data can be saved as a data file. The received signal can be viewed in real time (Figure 10). Figure 11 shows an example with the recorded transmit (green) and receive (blue) radar signals on March 24 (21:26:43 UTC), 2004.



a)



b) c)

d)

Figure 9: Active Radar Calibrators Setup.

a) Noah (DRDC Ottawa), b) Gemini (CCRS 2-2811), c) Serafina (CCRS 1-2756), and d) Power Hog (CCRS 1-2542)



Figure 10: The received signal in real time.



Figure 11: Received and transmitted Radar signals by Noah on March 24 (21:26:43 UTC).

## 3.4 GPS base station

In this trial, two Ashtech Z-12 GPS base stations were deployed at CFB Shearwater. One unit was set-up in the low grass at the north end of West Delta, while the other was established over the survey benchmark 66D31 on the east side of runway 16/34. The purpose of the deployment is to calculate the corrections necessary to obtain an exact aircraft position for processing of the collected radar data. The deployment of a GPS system is shown in Figure 12. The antenna height was measured with reference to the survey benchmark. The survey benchmark is shown in Figure 13.



Figure 12: GPS base station setup.

Survey Bench Mark Reference Data - North Delta
Unique Number: 661516
Name : PM 66 D 31
Established By : Mapping And Charting Establishment - Nd
Province: NS
NTS Map No: 011D12
Latitude: N44° 38' 49"
Longitude: W63° 30' 11"
Agency Geodetic Survey Division – NRCan
Vertical Datum: CGVD28
Elevation: 41.160 m

Figure 13: Survey BM 66D31.

# 4. Polarimetric SAR Image Acquisition

The PolSAR data was obtained using the EC CV-580 airborne C-band SAR. The Nadir mode of the PolSAR sensor was used in the trial. This mode normally includes nadir, but was operated with a range gate delay (RGD) offset such that the swath footprint covers incidence angles from $\theta = 28°$ to $\theta = 74°$, with optimal center target location at an incidence angle of approximately 57°, providing an approximate swath width of 20 km, subject to terrain and altitude variation. The image coverage in the azimuth direction depends on the aircraft ground speed and the data acquisition time. The azimuth distance during each acquisition was selected to be 20 nm (i.e. about 37 km) in this trial. The nominal imaging geometry used during the MarCoPola trial is illustrated in Figure 14 [1,4].




Figure 14: Geometry for the EC CV-580 PolSAR acquisition.

The C-band (5.30 GHz, λ=5.66 cm) SAR is a polarimetric system, providing four combinations of incident and scattered electric fields, HH, HV, VH, and VV. A polarimetric SAR system is often described mathematically by a 2×2 scattering matrix, $S$, with components $S_{HH}$, $S_{HV}$, $S_{VH}$ and $S_{VV}$. An $S$ matrix is measured for each sample element (i.e., pixel) in an image. The components of $S$ can be written as [9]:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{HH} & S_{HV} \\ S_{VH} & S_{VV} \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

Since multiple vessels participated in the trial, the incidence and aspect angle for each image were defined using the lead vessel. The incidence and aspect angle were slightly different for each vessel in an image since the vessel tracks were arranged in a right-triangle configuration (see Figure 2 and Figure 3). The aircraft flew at a nominally constant altitude. To obtain a

designed incidence angle at the centre target (lead vessel), the aircraft was flown at various lateral offset distances from the image centre. The aspect angle used for each acquisition is defined by the difference of the aircraft bearing and the center target course. During the experiments, the radar receiver was operated with the Surface Acoustic Wave (SAW) compressor bypassed ($SAW^{-1}$ Off configuration) to reduce point-target saturation problems of this radar [10,11] and sensor was operated in either right or left looking mode.

Throughout the experiments, the velocity of vessels remained constant during each image acquisition. However, different velocities were assigned for different flight lines. The first flight line for each day was assigned zero velocity, so the vessels were static with engines idle (see Table 2 and Table 3).

# 5. Initial Polarimetric SAR Results

## 5.1 General

A total of 16 acquisition lines of polarimetric imagery were successfully collected and processed, including 14 vessel images and 2 calibration site images.

DRDC Atlantic collected the *Quest* ground truthing information, including NADAS (Non-acoustic Data Acquisition System) data and ship motion data. NADAS data contains the speed over ground (SOG) and course over ground (COG) data in which wind effects are included, while ship motion and the wave information are obtained from ship motion data.

There was no equipment on other ships that could provide ship motion information, therefore, DRDC Ottawa scientists were deployed on each ship with a dGPS system to acquire the ship location during the trial. Using the dGPS data, it was also possible to estimate the velocity of each vessel.

The key operational parameters of the aircraft and the vessels during the trial are summarized in Table 5 to Table 7. The detailed environmental data extraction can be found in [12], and the collected information during the data processing is summarized in Table 8.

The data for each flight pass was extracted from the overall flight file for a given day. The data in Table 5 to Table 8 is based on the azimuth integration for that pass. Typical azimuth integration times are just a few seconds [1]. The aircraft heading and ship course is calculated using the formula below.

$$hdg = \frac{180}{\pi} \times \mathrm{mod}\left\{ \mathrm{atan2}\left[ \begin{array}{l} \sin(lon1 - lon2) \times \cos(lat2), \\ \cos(lat1) \times \sin(lat2) - \sin(lat1) \times \cos(lat2) \times \cos(lon1 - lon2) \end{array} \right], 2 \times \pi \right\} \quad (7)$$

where *hdg* is in degrees, *lon1* and *lat1* are the longitude and latitude of the start position in radians, and *lon2* and *lat2* are the longitude and latitude of the end position in radians.

Table 5: Data acquisition and vessel velocity parameters obtained from the NADAS and dGPS.

| Line/ Pass | Radar Look | Aircraft | | *Quest* | | | | *Grenfell* | | | | *Sambro* | | | | *Divecom III* | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Hdg (°) | Vel (kts) | $\theta_{inc}$ (°) | $\varphi_{asp}$ (°) | Hdg (°) | V (kts) | $\theta_{inc}$ (°) | $\varphi_{asp}$ (°) | Hdg (°) | V (kts) | $\theta_{inc}$ (°) | $\varphi_{asp}$ (°) | Hdg (°) | V (kts) | $\theta_{inc}$ (°) | $\varphi_{asp}$ (°) | Hdg (°) | V (kts) |
| l1p3 | Left | 225.2 | 121.4 | 45.5 | 271.2 | 314.0 | 1.4 | 41.0 | 123.9 | 101.3 | 1.6 | 52.4 | 267.7 | 317.5 | 1.2 | | | | |
| l2p4 | Right | 45.3 | 139.7 | 43.9 | 138.3 | 267.0 | 4.5 | 39.6 | 133.9 | 271.4 | 5.6 | 51.1 | 134.5 | 270.8 | 4.7 | | | | |
| l3p5 | Right | 225.1 | 122.0 | 36.2 | 135.1 | 90.0 | 5.0 | 44.1 | 135.7 | 89.4 | 5.0 | 27.1 | 138.6 | 86.5 | 5.1 | | | | |
| l4p6 | Right | 45.2 | 141.2 | 46.5 | 138.2 | 267.0 | 10.3 | 37.8 | 150.1 | 255.1 | 10.2 | 51.1 | 136.8 | 268.4 | 9.7 | | | | |
| l5p7 | Right | 225.1 | 122.5 | 34.6 | 134.1 | 91.0 | 10.9 | 45.7 | 130.7 | 94.4 | 9.6 | 31.9 | 142.9 | 82.2 | 9.8 | | | | |
| l6p8 | Right | 360.0 | 141.8 | 44.7 | 91.0 | 269.0 | 5.1 | 42.2 | 93.7 | 266.3 | 3.7 | 56.8 | 92.6 | 267.4 | 4.4 | | | | |
| l7p9 | Right | 180.1 | 127.2 | 38.6 | 87.1 | 93.0 | 5.4 | 43.6 | 86.8 | 93.3 | 5.1 | 51.7 | 79.0 | 101.1 | 5.4 | | | | |
| l8p10 | Right | 90.0 | 139.9 | 47.7 | 179.0 | 271.0 | 12.0 | 38.4 | 176.0 | 274.0 | 12.9 | 36.1 | 180.6 | 269.4 | 12.0 | | | | |
| l1p1 | Right | 90.0 | 143.2 | | | | | 44.9 | 56.8 | 33.2 | 0.8 | 35.1 | 191.6 | 258.5 | 1.2 | 36.1 | -36.3 | 126.3 | 1.2 |
| l2p2 | Left | 225.3 | 142.3 | | | | | 39.9 | 312.1 | 273.2 | 5.3 | 30.9 | 318.0 | 267.3 | 5.3 | 47.2 | -48.4 | 273.7 | 4.9 |
| l3p3 | Left | 45.1 | 130.9 | | | | | 39.3 | 313.5 | 91.6 | 4.7 | 47.5 | 319.0 | 86.1 | 4.6 | 40.0 | -36.9 | 82.0 | 4.9 |
| l4p4 | Left | 225.2 | 140.8 | | | | | 36.4 | 318.4 | 266.8 | 10.1 | 28.8 | 322.1 | 263.1 | 9.9 | 43.4 | -46.6 | 271.8 | 10.0 |
| l5p5 | Left | 45.1 | 133.6 | | | | | 38.3 | 317.1 | 88.0 | 10.0 | 46.8 | 313.6 | 91.5 | 9.9 | 29.6 | -45.5 | 90.6 | 9.4 |
| l6p6 | Left | 225.1 | 141.6 | 37.7 | 321.1 | 264.0 | 5.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 6: CFAV *Quest* motion and wave information.

| Line | Pass | Roll Angle (°) | Roll Rate (°/s) | Pitch Angle (°) | Pitch Rate (°/s) | Yaw Rate (°/s) | Lat Acc (G's) | Long Acc (G's) | Vert Acc (G's) | Wave Height (m) | Avg Wave Period (s) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 24-Mar-04 (Part One) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 3 | Data not available | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 4 | Data not available | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 5 | Data not available | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 6 | -3.14 | -0.20 | -0.45 | -0.04 | 1.11 | 0.0030 | -0.0564 | -0.0026 | 0.3 | 7.9 |
| 5 | 7 | -4.02 | 0.15 | -0.12 | 0.01 | 0.97 | 0.0016 | -0.0769 | -0.0029 | 0.2 | 7.6 |
| 6 | 8 | -3.90 | 0.18 | -0.33 | -0.01 | 0.91 | 0.0029 | -0.0701 | -0.0066 | 0.2 | 6.5 |
| 7 | 9 | -2.54 | 0.13 | -0.38 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.0041 | -0.0474 | -0.0009 | 0.2 | 6.9 |
| 8 | 10 | -3.31 | -0.08 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.80 | 0.0011 | -0.0590 | -0.0014 | 0.3 | 8.5 |
| 24-Mar-04 (Part Two) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 6 | -3.19 | 0.46 | -0.07 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.0010 | -0.0618 | 0.0032 | 1.0 | 4.9 |

Table 7: Vessel locations from dGPS data.

| ASAR | Line/ Pass | *Quest* | | *Grenfell* | | *Sambro* | | *Divecom III* | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Lat (N°) | Lon (W°) | Lat (N°) | Lon (W°) | Lat (N°) | Lon (W°) | Lat (N°) | Lon (W°) |
| 301 | l1p3 | 44.285117 | 63.752367 | 44.299891 | 63.748514 | 44.300096 | 63.699291 | | |
| 302 | l2p4 | 44.284408 | 63.772825 | 44.299441 | 63.767083 | 44.299408 | 63.720532 | | |
| 303 | l3p5 | 44.281683 | 63.757133 | 44.298690 | 63.760484 | 44.300017 | 63.706426 | | |
| 304 | l4p6 | 44.278450 | 63.781983 | 44.300394 | 63.782726 | 44.299082 | 63.731696 | | |
| 305 | l5p7 | 44.279050 | 63.762983 | 44.298550 | 63.774179 | 44.299831 | 63.726723 | | |
| 306 | l6p8 | 44.280267 | 63.768700 | 44.299372 | 63.775370 | 44.300696 | 63.725827 | | |
| 307 | l7p9 | 44.281017 | 63.763550 | 44.299753 | 63.775960 | 44.301662 | 63.800979 | | |
| 308 | l8p10 | 44.279217 | 63.787933 | 44.296920 | 63.789466 | 44.300494 | 63.833757 | | |
| 309 | l1p1 | | | 44.300847 | 63.750612 | 44.318347 | 63.754620 | 44.316855 | 63.699889 |
| 310 | l2p2 | | | 44.300959 | 63.781562 | 44.317617 | 63.786305 | 44.315451 | 63.732737 |
| 311 | l3p3 | | | 44.298714 | 63.771794 | 44.318127 | 63.776840 | 44.315872 | 63.718124 |
| 312 | l4p4 | | | 44.299044 | 63.812440 | 44.316644 | 63.809793 | 44.314826 | 63.765546 |
| 313 | l5p5 | | | 44.296953 | 63.776123 | 44.318035 | 63.779128 | 44.312540 | 63.727947 |
| 314 | l6p6 | 43.902800 | 63.684483 | | | | | | |

Table 8: Information collected during data processing.

| Date | Line/Pass | | Asar Number | Cal Line Used | Look Direction | Image Reversal | Comments |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 22-Mar-04 | l1p2 | | | | | | |
| | l2p2 | Cal Line | 297 | 298 | | | Missing annotation block |
| | | | 298 | | Port | | Very difficult to extract VH, VV noise |
| 23-Mar-04 | l10p1 | Cal Line | 299 | | | | Bad noise file, image not calibrated |
| 23-Mar-04 | l11p2 | Cal Line | 300 | | | | Bad noise file, image not calibrated |
| 23-Mar-04 | l1p3 | | 301 | 317 | Port | East-West | azimuth ambiguity |
| 23-Mar-04 | l2p4 | | 302 | 317 | Starboard | NO | |
| 23-Mar-04 | l3p5 | | 303 | 318 | Starboard | NO | |
| 23-Mar-04 | l4p6 | | 304 | 317 | Starboard | NO | Synchronized with Envisat. Stripped in 2 parts, ships are in part A, azimuth ambiguities |
| 23-Mar-04 | l5p7 | | 305 | 318 | Starboard | NO | |
| 23-Mar-04 | l6p8 | | 306 | 317 | Starboard | NO | |
| 23-Mar-04 | l7p9 | | 307 | 318 | Starboard | NO | |
| 23-Mar-04 | l8p10 | | 308 | 317 | Starboard | NO | azimuth ambiguity |
| 24-Mar-04 | l1p1 | | 309 | 317 | Starboard | NO | stripped in 2 parts, ships are in part A azimuth ambiguities |
| 24-Mar-04 | l2p2 | | 310 | 317 | Port | East-West | |
| 24-Mar-04 | l3p3 | | 311 | 318 | Port | East-West | azimuth ambiguities |
| 24-Mar-04 | l4p4 | | 312 | 317 | Port | East-West | azimuth ambiguities |
| 24-Mar-04 | l5p5 | | 313 | 318 | Port | East-West | azimuth ambiguities |
| 24-Mar-04 | l6p6 | | 314 | 317 | Port | East-West | azimuth ambiguity |
| 24-Mar-04 | l7p7 | | 315 | | | | 2 parts, no noise, can't process |
| 24-Mar-04 | l8p8 | | 316 | | | | no noise, can't process |
| 24-Mar-04 | l10p9 | Cal Line | 317 | | Port | East-West | 45° |
| 24-Mar-04 | l11p10 | Cal Line | 318 | | Starboard | NO | 35° |

## 5.2 Calibration Site

Four calibration lines were acquired; however, two of them cannot be processed because there is no internal calibration data (noise data) available. Two successfully acquired and processed calibration lines are l2p2 and l3p3 on March 24. The polarimetric SAR images of the calibration site are shown in Figure 15 and Figure 16. An incidence angle of 45° with the left looking mode characterizes the l2p2 acquisition, and an incidence angle of 35° with the right looking mode characterizes l3p3. It should be noted that the image obtained from l2p2 has East and West reversed from the usual frame of reference due to the left mode acquisition. Four ARCs and four CRs can be clearly identified in the both calibration lines. In l2p2, the calibration devices can be seen from azimuth pixels of about 520 to 1700, while in l3p3, they can be seen from azimuth pixels of about 210 to 130.

The calibration parameters used in the data processing for the ship images are from either one of these two calibration site images, depending on the incidence angle used for the ship image acquisition. Because not all the calibration lines are usable, we are undertaking an analysis of system stability from day to day in an effort to determine the error in using data from one day to calibrate data from a previous or subsequent day. Control data from collections having a complete set of calibration lines will be used to validate this effort.

Target to clutter ratio is studied using HH channel data. A mean TCR of great than 15 dB is obtained from both lines, as indicated in Figure 17 - 20.

.



Figure 15: Polarimetric SAR image of the calibration site – Left looking mode (45° inc).



Figure 16: Polarimetric SAR image of the calibration site – Right looking mode (35° inc).



Figure 17: Calibration devices (left looking mode) in the azimuth direction (1 range sample) using HH channel.



Figure 18: A CR (left looking mode) in the range direction (1 azimuth sample) using HH channel.



Figure 19: Calibration devices (Right looking mode) in the azimuth direction (1 range sample) using HH channel.



Figure 20: A CR (right looking mode) in the range direction (1 azimuth sample) using HH channel.

## 5.3 Vessels Imaged

Fourteen ship images were acquired, however, two of them cannot be processed because there is no valid internal calibration data available, subject to application of data from alternate flights All processed images, along with the vessel locations obtained from dGPS data, are presented in Annex F.

For one imaging event, simultaneous CV-580 and ENVISAT ASAR data were obtained, namely, l4p6, March 23. ENVISAT was operated in an AP mode (HH/VV) with an incidence angle of 29.73° at the *Quest*. The resolution for this image is 30 m in ground range and 30 m in azimuth with equivalent number of looks (ENL) > 1.8. The incidence angle of the CV-580 was 48° at the center target (CFAV *Quest*). The ships had a velocity of 5.14 m/s (10 kts) towards the sensor with an aspect angle of 45° (i.e. starboard bow was imaged). The images obtained from the both sensors are shown in bottom of Figure 21

Three ships, CFAV *Quest*, CCGS *Sir Wilfred Grenfell* and CCGC *Sambro*, participated in this portion of the work and are all evident within the CV-580 data (left image). However, only two ships, the *Quest* and the *Grenfell* can be seen within the ENVISAT scene (right image).

The images often appear smeared, and azimuth ambiguities are also observed in some images. A detailed study of these issues and analysis of the trial data are ongoing. We will compare the results from both sensors (CV-580 and ENVISAT).




Figure 21: Example of coincident data acquisition of SAR images from both airborne and spaceborne sensors.







Figure 21: Example of coincident data acquisition of SAR images from both airborne and spaceborne sensors.

# 6. Summary and Conclusions

A series of imaging radar data collections was acquired during the MarCoPola trial, held March 22-26, 2004 at sea 20 nm SSW of Halifax, Nova Scotia. This collection targeted controlled formations of 2-3 ships operating in tandem, i.e., in close proximity at the same course and speed. Combinations of the CFAV *Quest*, CCGS *Sir Wilfred Grenfell*, CCGC *Sambro*, and the fishing vessel *Divecom III* were employed, with each vessel instrumented with a differential GPS unit to record ship manoeuvring. Targets of opportunity, both vessels and non-vessels, within or approaching the imaging region were tracked and photographed by CP-140 Maritime Patrol Aircraft.

Calibration data for these collects were acquired at a dedicated site located at CFB Shearwater. The instrumentation included four C-band ARCs, four 75 cm trihedral corner reflectors and 2 Ashtech GPS base stations. Due to the location, the calibration data swaths also included the urban surroundings and Halifax Harbour. Four lines of polarimetric SAR imagery and two lines of MTI data were collected of the calibration site.

The data collected at sea is centred on the formations of ships. Seven lines in MTI mode were acquired of the *Grenfell* and *Sambro* in tandem. An ENVISAT overpass coincident with a CV-580 line acquisition of the *Quest*, *Grenfell* and *Sambro* produced near-simultaneous SAR imagery from both airborne and spaceborne sensors. The majority of data collected was polarimetric C-band SAR imagery using the airborne sensor. Fourteen lines, eight of the *Quest*, *Grenfell* and *Sambro* operating in formation, five of the *Grenfell*, *Sambro* and *Divecom III*, and one of the *Quest* alone were acquired. Within this collection of PolSAR data, the incidence angles on each vessel range from 27.1° to 56.8°, while their speed is approximately one of the set {1, 5, 10, 12} given in knots. In each image, all the vessels exhibit geometric imaging aspect angles at or near one of {90°, 135°, 180°, 270°, 315°}.

Normally, algorithms aimed at characterizing maritime targets are developed on data where the target vessels operate independently. Since only a finite set of data is used in the development, there may be variables, called operating conditions, that characterize these data sets but are independent of the target that appears in them, such as geometry, vessel activity, or environmental conditions. This collection has imaged multiple ships with their operating conditions aligned, providing the capability to validate that algorithms, particularly for polarimetric signatures, characterize the differences between ships rather than operating conditions.

# 7. References


1. Liu, C., Gallop, L., and Schlingmeier, D. (2004). *Quest-2003 Polarimetric Signature Trial: Experiment Design, SAR Calibration, Data Acquisition and Initial Results*. (DRDC Ottawa TM 2004-207). Defence R&D Canada – Ottawa, Ottawa.

2. Legault, S.R. and Louie, A. (2003). *C band RCS estimates of CFAV Quest*. (DRDC Ottawa TN 2003-133). Defence R&D Canada – Ottawa, Ottawa.

3. Hawkins, R.K., Touzi, R., and Livingstone, C.E. (1999). Calibration and Use of CV-580 Airborne Polarimetric SAR Data. In *Proc. of the Fourth International Airborne Remote Sensing Conference and Exhibition/21st Canadian Symposium on Remote Sensing*, Vol. II, p.32-40, Ottawa, Canada.

4. Livingstone, C.E., Gray, A.L., Hawkins, R.K., Vachon, P., Lukowski, T.I., and Lalonde, M. (1995). The CCRS Airborne SAR Systems: Radar for Remote Sensing Research. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, Vol. 21, p. 468-491.

5. Livingstone 03, C.E. (2003). Quick Design of Airborne Trials for the EC CV-580. Tech note.

6. Radar Cross Section (RCS) (October 2003). (online) Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division, U.S. Navy. https://ewhdbks.mugu.navy.mil/rcs.htm (November 30, 2004).

7. Freeman, A., Shen, Y. and Werner, C. L. (1990). Polarimetric SAR Calibration Experiment Using Active Radar Calibratiors, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 28(2), 224-240.

8. Fujita, M. (2003). Development of a Retrodirective PARC for ALOS/PALSAR calibration, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 41(10), 2177–2186.

9. Ulaby, F.T., and Elachi, C. (Editors) (1990). Radar polarimetry for geoscience applications. *Artech House Inc*.

10. Hawkins, R.K. and Vachon, P.W., SAR gain and point target measurements - The saturation problem. *CCRS-TN-1998-27*, 10p, 1998.

11. Hawkins, R.K. and Murnaghan, K.P., SAW Compressor Bypass Implementation and Test Results in the SAR-580 System. *CCRS-TN-2003-015*, 2003.

12. Meek, L. (2005). Aircraft and Ship Data Preparation and Presentation for the MarCoPola 2004 Trial, Contract report, W7714-1-0557/001/SV, W.E. Thorp Associates Ltd., March 31, 2005

13. GMTI project team, DRDC Ottawa internal web sit. http://131.136.36.91/sbrmti/

# Annex A. Calculation of Corner Reflector Bore-Sight Angle

The bore-sight angle is computed as detailed below. A sketch of a CR is shown in Figure 22.




Figure 22: Sketch of a Corner Reflector.

The bore-sight angle ($\theta_{bore}$) is

$$\theta_{bore} = 90° - \alpha,$$

where

$$\alpha = tan^{-1}\left(\frac{q}{p/2}\right) = tan^{-1}\left(\sqrt{2}\right) = 54.74°,$$

which yields $\theta_{bore} = 35.26°$.

# Annex B. Deployment of Corner Reflectors and ARCs

Entries in black are desired values, while those in red are recorded measurements. An "X" indicates desired values achieved.

Table 9: Corner reflector deployment

| Line | Direction to Aircraft | Corner Bore Azimuth (MN) | Corner Edge Alignment (Right to Left) | DREA | | | DREV | | | DREP | | | DREO | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | **Location (UTM)** | | | **Location (UTM)** | | | **Location (UTM)** | | | **Location (UTM)** | | |
| | | | | N 44 38 38.54280 | | | N 44 38 35.70067 | | | N 44 38 32.75059 | | | N 44 38 29.91548 | | |
| | | | | W 063 30 39.17557 | | | W 063 30 35.62361 | | | W 063 30 31.90426 | | | W 063 30 28.33777 | | |
| | | | | Az. | Corner Elevation (degrees from horizontal) | | Az. | Corner Elevation (degrees from horizontal) | | Az. | Corner Elevation (degrees from horizontal) | | Az. | Corner Elevation (degrees from horizontal) | |
| Mar 22 | NE | | **157.4** | - | **9.8** | - | - | **9.8** | - | 158 | **9.8** | 9.8 | 158 | **9.9** | 9.8 |
| Mar 23 A | NE | | **157.4** | X | **9.8** | 9.9 | 156.5 | **9.8** | 9.8 | X | **9.8** | 9.8 | X | **9.9** | 9.9 |
| Mar 23 B | NE | | **157.4** | X | **19.9** | 20.1 | X | **19.9** | 20.1 | X | **19.9** | 19.8 | X | **19.9** | 19.5 |
| Mar 24 A | NE | | **157.4** | X | **9.8** | 9.9 | X | **9.8** | 9.9 | X | **9.8** | 9.8 | X | **9.9** | 9.9 |
| Mar 24 B | NE | | **157.4** | X | **19.9** | 19.9 | X | **19.9** | 20 | X | **19.9** | 19.9 | X | **19.9** | 20 |
| Mar 26 A | NE | | **157.4** | X | **9.8** | 9.8 | 158 | **9.8** | 9.7 | X | **9.8** | 9.8 | X | **9.9** | 9.8 |
| Mar 26 B | NE | | **157.4** | X | ***4.8*** | 5.1 | 158 | ***4.8*** | 5.1 | X | ***4.8*** | 5.1 | X | ***4.8*** | 4.9 |

Table 10: ARC deployment.

| Line | Direction to Aircraft | Corner Bore Azimuth (MN) | Corner Edge Alignment (Right to Left) | Power Hog (CCRS 1-2542) | | | | Gemini (CCRS 2-2811) | | | | Serafina (CCRS 1-2756) | | | | Noah (DRDC Ottawa) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Location (UTM) | | | | Location (UTM) | | | | Location (UTM) | | | | Location (UTM) | | | |
| | | | | N 44 38 37 07876 | | | | N 44 38 34 27651 | | | | N 44 38 31 38169 | | | | N 44 38 28 43342 | | | |
| | | | | W 063 30 37 24303 | | | | W 063 30 33 67799 | | | | W 063 30 30 08363 | | | | W 063 30 26 43004 | | | |
| | | | | Az | ARC Incidence (degrees from horizontal) | | | Az | ARC Incidence (degrees from horizontal) | | | Az | ARC Incidence (degrees from horizontal) | | | Az. | ARC Incidence (degrees from horizontal) | | |
| | | | | | | TX | RX | | | TX | RX | | | TX | RX | | | TX | RX |
| Mar 22 | NE | | **157.4** | x | **44.9** | - | - | x | **44.9** | 44.8 | 44.9 | x | **44.9** | 46 | 45.1 | x | **44.9** | 45.1 | 44.7 |
| Mar 23 A | NE | | **157.4** | x | **44.9** | 44.7 | 44.5 | x | **44.9** | 44.7 | 44.7 | 158 | **44.9** | 45.1 | 45 | x | **44.9** | 44.9 | 44.6 |
| Mar 23 B | NE | | **157.4** | x | **34.9** | 35 | 35 | x | **34.9** | 35.1 | 34.7 | x | **34.9** | 34.9 | 35.5 | x | **34.9** | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| Mar 24 A | NE | | **157.4** | x | **44.9** | 45 | 44.8 | x | **44.9** | 44.7 | 44.8 | x | **44.9** | 45 | 45.1 | x | **44.9** | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Mar 24 B | NE | | **157.4** | x | **34.9** | 34.8 | 34.9 | x | **34.9** | 35 | 34.8 | 158 | **34.9** | 34.8 | 35.1 | x | **34.9** | 35 | 34.7 |
| Mar 26 A | NE | | **157.4** | x | **44.9** | 44.7 | 44.7 | 158 | **44.9** | 44.8 | 44.5 | x | **44.9** | 44.8 | 45 | x | **44.9** | 44.9 | 45 |
| Mar 26 B | NE | | **157.4** | x | ***49.9*** | 49.8 | 49.9 | x | ***49.9*** | 49.8 | 49.2 | x | ***49.9*** | 50.1 | 51.6 | x | ***49.9*** | 49.8 | 49.7 |

## Annex C. Flight Operations and Communication Plans

By Bryan Healey

**PART A - Flight Operations**

| DATE | PLATFORM | TIME (ON-SITE) | PROFILE | ALTITUDE |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 23 March (23 2000-24 0400Z) | CV 580 (EC) C-GRSC | **2320 Z - 1920 (L)** **2400 Z - 2000 (L)** | Cal Site Lines - HZ 7-50 nm Lines Centered on Position A | 220 - 230 |
| | CP 140 Aurora (DND) | **2300 Z - 1900 (L)** | Seek and Identify Targets Within **30 nm** of Position A | 300 - 5000 |
| | Research 9 (NRC) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 24 March (24 1600-2300Z) | CV 580 (EC) C-GRSC | 1830 Z - 1430 (L) | 7-50 nm Lines Centered on Position A | FL 220 - 230 |
| | CP 140 Aurora (DND) | 1730 Z - 1330 (L) | Seek and Identify Targets Within **30 nm** of Position A | 1500 AGL & Above After 1900 Z 1000' & Above |
| | CV 580 (EC) C-GRSC | 2000 Z - 1600 (L) to Quest, after CCG work done | 7-50 nm Lines Centered on Position B | FL 220 - 230 |
| | CP 140 Aurora (DND) | 2000 Z - 1600 (L) to Quest, after CCG work done | Seek and Identify Targets Within **30 nm** of Position B | 1500 AGL & Above After 1900 Z 1000' & Above |
| | Research 9 (NRC) | 1730 Z - 1330 (L) 1900 Z - 1500 (L) | MAG Anomalies Hyperspectral Characteristics | 1000' AGL 300' AGL - 500'AGL |

**NOTES:**

1. 23 March Position A - 44° 18' ; 63° 45' Main Target

   24 March Position A 44° 18' ; 63° 45' Main Target CCG
   Sambro/Sir Wilfred Grenfell and rented vessel Divecom III

   Position B 43° 54' ; 63° 39' Main Target Quest

2. EC CV580 (C-GRSC) will be communication focal point for airborne operations directly related to MarCoPola. Frequencies in Part B.

3. Main Target and compliments will only change course and speeds on instruction from CV580 C-GRSC.

4. Ancillary participants are to monitor communication frequencies as per Part B.

5. CP 140 Aurora and Research 9 - CV 580 should use UHF communication for position and altitude conflict as required preventing clutter on main communication frequencies.

6. All changes in flight planning must be co-ordinated through Bryan Healey - EC CV 580 Captain in YHZ - contact provided in Part B.

7. Generally, schedules are marginally flexible plus or minus half to one hour except for satellite under flight 23 March, 22:00 (L) - 0200 Z - 24 March for EC CV 580 - C-GRSC.

8. Contingency will be handled as required - DRDC Part B - Communication - David Schlingmeier.

9. C-GRSC will attempt HF Communication with participants before C-GRSC's departure each day on HF 2510, 30 minutes prior to scheduled departure time. It would be helpful if all participants were monitoring HF 2510 upper S/B 30 minutes prior to scheduled departure for C-GRSC until exercise is called complete.

**PART B - Communications**

**Primary Communications**

- 30 Minutes Prior to C-GRSC Departure
  - HF 25,100 upper S/B
- During Operations
  - CV 580's, Quest – Channel 19 – 161.55
  - CP 140, CV 580's – 1223 VHF
  - CV 580's Cal Site - 149.59 FM
  - Research 9, CP 140 - UHF 279.4
- Alternate Communication
  - CP 140, CV 580's - 123.45 VHF, 2237 HF U/SB, UHF - 249.8
  - CV 580's Quest – Channel 18 – 161.5

**Secondary Communications**

- HF - 2237 U/SB - All participants
- VHF - 126.7 - All participants where applicable.

**NOTE:** Secondary communication should only be used if directed by C-GRSC.
Emergency Frequencies (all well known) VHF - 121.0, VHF/FM - 156.8, HF – 2182.

# Annex D. Crucial Covair Radio Calls to Ships

By Shawn Gong and Pete Beaulne

**Mar 23, Tuesday, PolSAR**
**On Station Time 21:00, Quest as the leading ship**

- Lead-in on Line 1
- End of Image on Line 1 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 5 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 2
- End of Image on Line 2 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 5 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 3
- End of Image on Line 3 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 5 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 4
- End of Image on Line 4 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 5 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 5
- End of Image on Line 5 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 7-10 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 6
- End of Image on Line 6 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 5 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 7
- End of Image on Line 7 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 10 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 8
- End of Image on Line 8 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- **Go home**

**Mar 24, Wednesday, PolSAR**
**On Station Time 14:30, Grenfell as the leading ship**

- Lead-in on Line 1
- End of Image on Line 1 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 7-10 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 2
- End of Image on Line 2 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 5 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 3
- End of Image on Line 3 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 5 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 4
- End of Image on Line 4 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 5 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 5
- End of Image on Line 5 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)

**CCG ships and Divecom III Go home**

**On Station Time 16:00, Quest**

- Lead-in on Line 6
- End of Image on Line 6 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 5 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 7
- End of Image on Line 7 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)
- ❖ Waiting: 5 minutes of Lead-out, plus 10 minutes of turning
- Lead-in on Line 8
- End of Image on Line 8 (about 10 minutes after Lead-in call)

**Quest Go home**

# Annex E. Ships Operation during the experiment

The ship operation plan for the experiment is attached in this Annex as a value reference for the future trial planning. The actual operational notes can be found in [13]

By Shawn Gong and Pete Beaulne

**Ship ID: CCGS Sir Wilfred Grenfell**

It is designed that the ship is operating around its nominal position: 44° 18' N 63° 45' W during the course of the experiment. There is a possibility that the aircraft flight time is longer in upwind and shorter in tailwind. That means the ship may drift off the nominal position. If possible, such drift should be compensated when the aircraft is turning.

**Ship ID: CCGS Sir Wilfred Grenfell**

**March 23, Tuesday, Flight ID 04-04B**
**On Station Time 21:00, Quest as the leading ship**

Starting position 44° 18' N 63° 45' W

Line 1:
Starting position 44° 18' N 63° 45' W
Bearing 270°, Speed 0 kts,

Station keeping only, no movement

Line 2:
Starting position 44° 18' N 63° 45' W
Bearing 270°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 3:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 4:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 270°, Speed 10 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 5:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 10 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 6:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 270°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 7:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 8:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 270°, Speed 15 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Go home upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.

**Ship ID: CCGS Sir Wilfred Grenfell**

**March 24, Wednesday, Flight ID 04-04C**
**On Station Time 14:30, Grenfell as the leading ship**

Starting position 44°18' N 63°45' W

Line 1:
Starting position 44°18' N 63°45' W
Bearing 270°, Speed 0 kts,

Station keeping only, no movement

Line 2:
Starting position 44°18' N 63°45' W
Bearing 270°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 3:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 4:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 270°, Speed 10 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 5:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 10 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Go home upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.

**Ship ID: CCGC Sambro**

It is designed that the ship is operating around its nominal position for each day during the course of the experiment. There is a possibility that the aircraft flight time is longer in upwind and shorter in tailwind. That means the ship may drift off the nominal position. If possible, such drift should be compensated when the aircraft is turning.

**Ship ID: CCGC Sambro**

**March 23, Tuesday, Flight ID 04-04B**
**On Station Time 21:00, Quest as the leading ship**

Starting position 44°18' N 63°42' W **2 nm east of the Grenfell**

Line 1:
Starting position 44°18' N 63°42' W
Bearing 270°, Speed 0 kts,

Station keeping only, no movement

Line 2:
Starting position 44°18' N 63°42' W
Bearing 270°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 3:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 4:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 270°, Speed 10 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 5:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 10 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed

Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 6:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 270°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.
**At the end of Run 6, keep moving to the west until it is 2 nm west of the Grenfell.**
**Probable position 44°18'N 63°50' W.**

Line 7:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 8:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 270°, Speed 15 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Go home upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.

**Ship ID: CCGC Sambro**

**March 24, Wednesday, Flight ID 04-04C**
**On Station Time 14:30, Grenfell as the leading ship**

Starting position 44°19' N 63°45' W
Two smaller ships should follow each other, distance between two ships is 2 nm

Line 1:
Starting position 44°19' N 63°45' W
Bearing 270°, Speed 0 kts,

Station keeping only, no movement

Line 2:
Starting position 44°19' N 63°45' W
Bearing 270°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 3:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 4:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 270°, Speed 10 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 5:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 10 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Go home upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.

**Ship ID: Quest**

It is designed that the ship is operating around its nominal position for each day during the course of the experiment. There is a possibility that the aircraft flight time is longer in upwind and shorter in tailwind. That means the ship may drift off the nominal position. If possible, such drift should be compensated when the aircraft is turning.

**March 23, Tuesday, Flight ID 04-04B**
**On Station Time 21:00, Quest as the leading ship**

Starting position 44°17' N 63°45' W

Line 1:
Starting position 44°17' N 63°45' W
Bearing 270°, Speed 0 kts,

Station keeping only, no movement

Line 2:
Starting position 44°17' N 63°45' W
Bearing 270°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 3:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 4:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 270°, Speed 10 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 5:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 10 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 6:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 270°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 7:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 8:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 270°, Speed 15 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Go home upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.

**Ship ID: Quest**

**March 24, Wednesday, Flight ID 04-04C**
**On Station Time 16:00, Quest only**

Line 6:
Starting position 43°54' N 63°39' W
Bearing 270°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 7:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 90°, Speed 5 kts,

Accelerate upon receiving "Lead-in" from the aircraft. Maintain the desired speed
Start to turn 180° upon receiving "Image end" or "Lead-out" from the aircraft.
Come back to the original track, ready for the next run.

Line 8:
Starting position: the end of the last run
Bearing 270°, Speed 10 kts,

# Annex F. Initial Image Results and Target Location Map

The images obtained from the trial are presented in this Annex. The x axis of the images presents azimuth pixels and the y axis presents range pixels. The aircraft heading and vessel course are obtained from dGPS data and indicated in an actual map orientation, the vertical scale is latitude and the horizontal scale is longitude [12].




a) l1p3. (vessels are static)




b) l2p4.




c) I3p5.




d) I4p6.




e) I5p7.



f) l6p8.



g) l7p9.



h) l8p10.

Figure 23: Polarimetric images of vessels, aircraft flight track and vessel locations on 23 March 2004. Near range (green) and first range line (red) of images indicate collection orientation.



a) 11p1. (vessels are in stationary position)



b) 12p2.



c) 13p3.



d) l4p4.



e) l5p5.



f) l6p6.

Figure 24: Polarimetric images of vessels, aircraft flight track and vessel locations on 24 March 2004. Near range (green) and first range line (red) of images indicate collection orientation.

## List of symbols/abbreviations/acronyms/initialisms

| | |
|---|---|
| ARC | Active Radar Calibrator |
| AZ | Azimuth |
| CAD | Canadian Air Division |
| CCG | Canadian Coast Guard |
| CCGC | CCG Cutter |
| CCGS | CCG Ship |
| CCRS | Canada Centre for Remote Sensing |
| CFAV | Canadian Forces Auxiliary Vessel |
| CFB | Canadian Forces Base |
| CoG | Course over Ground |
| CR | Corner Reflector |
| CSA | Canadian Space Agency |
| CSI | Commercial Satellite Imagery |
| CV-580 | Convair 580 |
| dGPS | Differential Global Positioning System |
| DIAC | |
| DND | Department of National Defence |
| DRDC | Defence Research and Development Canada |
| EC | Environment Canada |
| ENL | Equivalent number of looks |
| EOADP | Earth Observation Application Development Program |
| FM | Frequency Modulation |
| GMTI | Ground Moving Target Indicator |

| | |
|---|---|
| GPS | Global Positioning System |
| HF | High Frequency |
| ISR | Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance |
| MAC | Maritime Air Command |
| MarCoPola | Maritime Cooperative Polarimetric |
| MMTI | Maritime Moving Target Indicator |
| MN | Magnetic North |
| MPA | Maritime Patrol Aircraft |
| MTI | Moving Target Indicator |
| NADAS | Non-acoustic Data Acquisition System |
| NRC | National Research Council |
| $P_{FA}$ | Probability of False Alarm |
| PolSAR | Polarimetric SAR |
| RCS | Radar Cross Section |
| RGD | Range Gate Delay |
| SAR | Synthetic Aperture Radar |
| SAW | Surface Acoustic Wave expander |
| $SAW^{-1}$ | Surface Acoustic Wave compressor |
| SoG | Speed over Ground |
| TACNAV | |
| TCR | Target to Clutter Ratio |
| UHF | Ultra High Frequency |
| VHF | Very High Frequency |

## DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall document is classified)

1. ORIGINATOR (the name and address of the organization preparing the document. Organizations for whom the document was prepared, e.g. Establishment sponsoring a contractor's report, or tasking agency, are entered in section 8.)

Defence R&D Canada – Ottawa
3701 Carling Avenue
Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0Z4, Canada

2. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (overall security classification of the document, including special warning terms if applicable)

UNCLASSIFIED

3. TITLE (the complete document title as indicated on the title page. Its classification should be indicated by the appropriate abbreviation (S,C or U) in parentheses after the title.)

MarCoPola-2004 Polarimetric Signature Trial: Signatures of Multiple Vessels with Aligned Operating Conditions (U)

4. AUTHORS (Last name, first name, middle initial)

Liu, Chen; Sandirasegaram, Nicholas; English, Ryan; Gallop, Lloyd; Schlingmeier, Dave

| 5. DATE OF PUBLICATION (month and year of publication of document) | 6a. NO. OF PAGES (total containing information. Include Annexes, Appendices, etc.) | 6b. NO. OF REFS (total cited in document) |
|---|---|---|
| September 2005 | 65 | 13 |

7. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (the category of the document, e.g. technical report, technical note or memorandum. If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g. interim, progress, summary, annual or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.)

Technical Memorandum

8. SPONSORING ACTIVITY (the name of the department project office or laboratory sponsoring the research and development. Include the address.)

DRDC Ottawa, Radar Application and Space Technology

| 9a. PROJECT OR GRANT NO. (if appropriate, the applicable research and development project or grant number under which the document was written. Please specify whether project or grant) | 9b. CONTRACT NO. (if appropriate, the applicable number under which the document was written) |
|---|---|
| 15es12 | |

| 10a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NUMBER (the official document number by which the document is identified by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this document.) | 10b. OTHER DOCUMENT NOS. (Any other numbers which may be assigned this document either by the originator or by the sponsor) |
|---|---|
| DRDC Ottawa TM 2005-134 | |

11. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY (any limitations on further dissemination of the document, other than those imposed by security classification)

( X ) Unlimited distribution
( ) Distribution limited to defence departments and defence contractors; further distribution only as approved
( ) Distribution limited to defence departments and Canadian defence contractors; further distribution only as approved
( ) Distribution limited to government departments and agencies; further distribution only as approved
( ) Distribution limited to defence departments; further distribution only as approved
( ) Other (please specify):

12. DOCUMENT ANNOUNCEMENT (any limitation to the bibliographic announcement of this document. This will normally correspond to the Document Availability (11). However, where further distribution (beyond the audience specified in 11) is possible, a wider announcement audience may be selected.)

13. ABSTRACT (a brief and factual summary of the document. It may also appear elsewhere in the body of the document itself. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified documents be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall begin with an indication of the security classification of the information in the paragraph (unless the document itself is unclassified) represented as (S), (C), or (U). It is not necessary to include here abstracts in both official languages unless the text is bilingual).

(U) This memorandum addresses design, experimentation, and data collection components of the MarCoPola Polarimetric Signature trial that was conducted off the coast of Halifax, Nova Scotia, March 22-26, 2004 in conjunction with the CFAV Quest trial Q-281. Four ships participated as maritime targets in the experiments: Quest, CCGS Sir Wilfred Grenfell and CCGC Sambro, and Divecom III. C-band Synthetic Aperture Radar imagery of these targets was collected using the sensor on Environment Canada's CV-580 platform, with a supporting ENVISAT acquisition.

(U) A radar calibration site was established at CFB Shearwater, which offered an adequately high TCR environment. The calibration site was composed of four ARCs, four corner reflectors and two GPS base stations. Additional ground truthing of targets of opportunity were acquired by a CP-140 through contact tracking and photographs.

(U) Fourteen lines of airborne Polarimetric SAR images were successfully collected with the target vessels exhibiting various speeds, incidence angles, aspect angles, and environmental conditions. One line was collected coincident with the ENVISAT acquisition. Four PolSAR lines were collected containing the Shearwater calibration site, also containing urban environments of the greater Halifax area. Seven lines of MTI data were obtained containing the target vessels, and two lines in MTI mode were collected for calibration.

14. KEYWORDS, DESCRIPTORS or IDENTIFIERS (technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a document and could be helpful in cataloguing the document. They should be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location may also be included. If possible keywords should be selected from a published thesaurus, e.g. Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms (TEST) and that thesaurus-identified. If it is not possible to select indexing terms which are Unclassified, the classification of each should be indicated as with the title.)

Polarimetric Syntheture Aperature Radar (PolSAR), Ploarimetric signature

**Defence R&D Canada**

Canada's leader in Defence
and National Security
Science and Technology

**R & D pour la défense Canada**

Chef de file au Canada en matière
de science et de technologie pour
la défense et la sécurité nationale

www.drdc-rddc.gc.ca